



JPW/DAC

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Applicant: David R. Clay
Serial No.: 10/622,931
Filed: 07/18/2003
Title: ONE-PIECE TRIGGER FOR LEVER ACTION RIFLE
WITH EXPOSED HAMMER
Art Unit: 3641
Examiner: Clement, Michelle Rene

Mail Stop Petition
Attn: Office of Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR § 1.137(b)

The above-referenced application became abandoned for failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

**Note: A grantable petition requires the following items:*

- (1) *Petition fee;*
- (2) *Reply and/or issue fee;*
- (3) *Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and*
- (4) *Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.*

1. Petition Fee

☒ Small entity-fee \$750.00. Applicant claims small entity status.

☐ Large entity-fee \$_____.

03/21/2006 TBESHAH1 00000005 10622931

01 FC:2453

750.00 DP

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. ' 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on March 17, 2006 with the United States Postal Service via 1st Class addressed to the Mail Stop Petition, Attn: Office of Petitions, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Jeffrey N. Collins
Name of applicant, assignee or
registered representative

Signature

3-17-2006
Date

Applicant: David R. Clay

USSN: 10/622,931

Title: ONE-PIECE TRIGGER FOR LEVER ACTION RIFLE WITH EXPOSED TRIGGER

Dkt. No.: DRC

2. Reply and/or Fee

- A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office Action in the form of Corrected Drawings (identify type of reply):

☐ has been filed previously on _____.
☒ is enclosed herewith.

- B. The issue fee and publication fee (if applicable) of \$ 700.00.

☒ has been paid previously on 6/23/2005.
☐ is enclosed herewith.

3. Terminal Disclaimer with Disclaimer Fee

☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee of \$ _____ for a small entity or \$ _____ for large entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith.

4. Statement

☒ The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR § 1.137(b) was unintentional.

5. Enclosures

☒ Fee payment
☐ Reply
☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form
☒ Additional Sheet(s) containing statements establishing unintentional delay (Declaration of Jeffrey N. Collins)
☒ Corrected Drawing(s) – Corrected Fig. 12

Applicant: David R. Clay

USSN: 10/622,931


Title: ONE-PIECE TRIGGER FOR LEVER ACTION RIFLE WITH EXPOSED TRIGGER

Dkt. No.: DRC

Sincerely,

March 17, 2005

Date



Jeffrey N. Collins, Reg. No. 51576
COLLINS LAW FIRM, PLLC
5327 Summerhill Road
Texarkana, Texas 75503
Ph: 903-832-2888
Fax: 903-223-1140
Attorney for Applicant



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Applicant: David R. Clay
Serial No.: 10/622,931
Filed: 07/18/2003
Title: ONE-PIECE TRIGGER FOR LEVER ACTION RIFLE
WITH EXPOSED HAMMER
Art Unit: 3641
Examiner: Clement, Michelle Rene

Mail Stop Petition
Attn: Office of Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF JEFFREY N. COLLINS

I am the attorney of record on the above captioned patent application.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that that the above captioned patent application was unintentionally abandoned because the I inadvertently failed to notice the requirement to send in corrected drawings contained in the notice of allowance at the time that I timely transmitted the issue fee to the United States Patent Office.

This oversight was of no fault of the inventor and thus the subsequent abandonment of the patent application was wholly unintentional.

DATE:

3-17-2006


Jeffrey N. Collins